

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/057,086 01/25/2002		25/2002	Bret H. Ashton	T6898	1431	
20449	7590	08/22/2003				
KARL R CANNON				EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1909 SANDY, UT 84091				LEE, JON	LEE, JONG SUK	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3673	- · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/057,086	ASHTON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprend for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address/
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	<u>une 2003</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25,27-40 and 42-68</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 44-68</u> is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 17-25,27-40,42 and 43 is/are rejected		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u></u>	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the prior appli	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial Number: 10/057,086 Art Unit: 3673				
	DETAILED ACTION			
1.	The amendment filed June 20, 2003 has been entered.			
	Drawings			
2.	The corrected or substitute drawings including additional Figs. 3 and 4 filed on June 2			
2003 l	have been approved by the examiner. These drawings are formal and acceptable.			
	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102			
3.	The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form			
basis f	or the rejections under this section made in this Office action:			
	A person shall be entitled to a patent unless			
	(e) the invention was described in- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before			
	invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined			
	section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section			
	122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(
	of such treaty in the English language; or			
	(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention			
	applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes o subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 3510			
	subsection based on the mining of an international approach in the ander the treaty defined in section 33 is			
4.	Claims 17, 18, 21-23, 27, 29-33, 36-39 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as			

Art Unit: 3673

Fawley discloses a reinforced wood pole comprising of: a non-hollow elongate shaft/wood

pole (11) having a length of at least 10 feet long as a electric pole and an exterior surface extending along the length, a composite wrapping (12), having a plurality of strips (26-28) of high strength filaments (48), encircling the exterior surface along at least a portion of the length and forming a layer of uniform thickness and materials and a single, seamless layer, wherein the composite wrapping applying a radial compressive force upon the elongate shaft (pg.4, paragraph no. 0040) and being bonded to the elongate shaft with curable resins (see pg.4, paragraph no. 0034) to increase the stiffness and/or reinforcement of the wood pole, the stuffiness/strength of the pole increases at least more than 40 % of the bare pole strength (see pg.5, paragraph no. 0044-0048) (see Figs. 1-14; pg.3, paragraph nos. 0029-0032; pg.4, paragraph no. 0033-0040).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

Art Unit: 3673

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 19, 20, 24, 25, 34, 35 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fawley in view of Owens et al (US 5,175,973). The teachings of Fawley have been discussed above.

However, Fawley fails to disclose or fairly suggest the composite wrapping covering a portion of the wood pole to be buried in the ground and a range of moisture content.

Owens et al discloses a compression repair method and apparatus for a wood pole comprising of a wood pole (4) having at least portion of the pole being wrapped around with a fiber glass blanket/mat (3), the wood pole having a moisture content of 19 % for the best condition of the wood piling/pole (see col.9, lines 60-68) and the portion of wrapped with the blanket is partially buried in the ground as depicted in Fig. 1, the fiber glass blanket further comprising of a plurality/multiple-tow bundle of strands/fibers (5-7) running at an angle of 45 degrees to 90 degrees for each bundles (see Fig. 2) and a coating of a composite resin (9) (see Figs. 1-3; col.2, lines 39-49; col.4, lines 37-68; col.5, lines 41-58; col.6, lines 5-60; col.7, lines 46-55).

Therefore, in view of Owens et al, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to treat the moisture content for the wood pole of Fawley as taught by Owens et al. and to wrap around with the composite wrapping the buried

Art Unit: 3673

portion of the pole in order to extend the protection and reinforcement for the pole to the buried portion.

1

7. Claims 28 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fawley, as modified by Owens et al, as applied to claim 27 and 42, respectively, and further in view of Williams et al (S 5,516,236). The teachings of Fawley modified by Owens et al have been discussed above.

The teachings of Fawley modified by Owens et al fails to specifically disclose or fairly suggest a mechanical bond to the composite wrapping. Williams et al discloses a timber pile protection system comprising of a composite wrapping (2) bonded to the timber/wood pile (3) by means of a mechanical bond/straps (13) with nails (15) as depicted in Fig. 2 (see Figs. 1-3; col.3, lines 40-67; col.4, lines 1-56).

Therefore, in view of Williams et al, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the composite wrapping of Fawley, as modified by Owens et al, by including the straps with nails in order to enhance the reinforcement of the composite wrapping to the wood piling/pole.

17

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

Art Unit: 3673

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17 and 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. The arguments with respect to claims 1, 16, 44, 60 and 61 are persuasive and therefore, the art rejection for these independent claims have been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-16 and 44-68 would be allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references discloses a method of making fabric reinforced concrete columns, a filament wound architectural column and filament wound structural columns for light poles.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6777. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM Monday thru Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for this

19 Group is (703) 305-3597.

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

J. Lee /jjl

August 18, 2003

Jong-Suk (James) Lee

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3673